

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/057,726	01/24/2002	Gary K. Owens	021258-000200US	2786	
20350	7590 07/29/	003			
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
			SULLIVAN, DANIEL M		
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834		834	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1636	13	
			DATE MAILED: 07/29/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
1	•		
Office Action Summary		10/057,726	OWENS ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Daniel M Sullivan	1636
Period fo		pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reput of the provision of	136(a). In no event, however, may ly within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) More, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•	
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ The triangle of tri	nis action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow		
	closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims	,	J.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊡	Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the applicatio		
🖂	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	alastias sandusas at	
-	Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers	election requirement.	
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
•	The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a) ☐ acce		the Examiner.
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) _ approved b) _	disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.	
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in	Application No
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Bushee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))).
14) []	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
	The translation of the foreign language process. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes.	• •	
Attachmen		-	
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/057,726

Art Unit: 1636

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-17 and 19, drawn to an isolated, synthetic or recombinant polynucleotide comprising an SM-MHC promoter/enhancer capable of conferring smooth muscle specific expression *in vivo*, classified in class 536, subclass 24.1.
- II. Claim 18, drawn to a transgenic non-human animal comprising the polynucleotide of Group I, classified in class 800, subclass 8.
- III. Claims 20-33, drawn to a method of expressing a polynucleotide in a smooth muscle cell *in vivo* comprising introducing said polynucleotide operably linked to n SM-MHC promoter/enhancer sequence, classified in class 514, subclass 44.
- IV. Claim 34, drawn to a method for screening a compound that modulates the activity of an SM-MHC promoter, classified in class 435, subclass 6.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The nucleic acid of Invention I is related to the transgenic animal of Invention II in that the animal can be produced with, and comprises the nucleic acid of Invention I.

The animal is distinct from the nucleic acid, however, because they are physically and functionally distinct and the nucleic acid can be used for processes other than production of the transgenic animal, such as in hybridization assays. Furthermore, patentability of the transgenic animal arises from the phenotypic characteristics of the animal; thus,

Application/Control Number: 10/057,726

Art Unit: 1636

patentability of the transgenic animal is not solely dependent upon the particulars of the nucleic acid or polypeptide comprised within the animal.

The methods of Inventions III and IV are distinct. Inventions are distinct if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the Groups are directed to methods of obtaining distinct objectives (i.e., expression of a polynucleotide versus identification of a modulatory compound) and clearly have different modes of operation, function and effect which are dictated by the different desired objectives. Although the method of expressing a polynucleotide of Invention III could be used together with the method of screening a compound of Invention IV, the SM-MHC promoter/enhancer used in the method of Group III is limited to being capable of conferring smooth muscle cell specific expression *in vivo*, while the SM-MHC promoter/enhancer used in the method of Group IV is not so limited. As the products used in the method of Group IV are of broader scope than the products used in the method of Group III, Group IV encompasses methods that are not capable of use together with the method of Group III.

Invention I is related to the methods of Inventions III and IV are as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used in a materially different process such as in a hybridization assay or to screen a library. Furthermore, as described above, the method of invention IV is not

Application/Control Number: 10/057,726

Art Unit: 1636

limited to using an SM-MHC promoter/enhancer capable of conferring smooth muscle specific expression *in vivo* and thus could be practiced using a promoter/enhancer that is materially different from the SM-MHC promoter/enhancer of Group I, which is limited to being capable of conferring smooth muscle specific expression *in vivo*.

Inventions II and III are distinct because the transgenic animal could not be produced according to the method of group II, which is limited to introducing the polynucleotide into a smooth muscle cell.

Inventions II and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method for screening a compound could be practiced with a materially different product such as a cell in culture.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 1636

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel M Sullivan whose telephone number is 703-305-4448. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Remy Yucel, Ph.D. can be reached on 703-305-1998. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-9105 for regular communications and 703-746-9105 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Anne-Marie Falk, PH.D
PRIMARY EXAMINER

dms July 23, 2003